01117

1962/11/08

4, 30		DEPARTMENT REVIEWED R () RELIANCE () EXCISE (X) DENY COVER OF P (C) CLASSIF	E () DECLASS () DECLASS () Non-resp A exemptions	DATE DATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T		PIES
	Drafted by A.	Akalovsky		12 Eyes	5 to 8:20 P	•
	SUBJECT:	CUBA		EXES REVIEW	U	
	PARTICIPANTS:	US - Ambassador Mr. McCloy Amb. Yout	Cat B	Cantion remove Garding for the Local Transfer Mr. Zo with ad Mr. Mo	Enetsov Fin 90	
PARTMENT OF		IS I FPC CD Akalova	Date. 4/1/92	control Mar. Zh	erebtsoy	
RELEASE EXCISE DENY DELETE Non-	DECLASSIFY DECLASSIFY IN PART	MR Cases Only: / EO Citations	TS authority	Caption and cus	tody	
A Exemptions		() CLASSIF (as () DOWNGRADE TS to	1	Date: 2/12/4	<u> 19</u> 19 μ.,	
Exemp.	Mr. Kusne	tsov said he had	heard there w	LE SOME CONTUS	ion with farmer	. •

the route the Soviet ships were taking on their way from Cuba to the Soviet Union.

Mr. McCley remarked that we had just received word about one Soviet ship, the <u>Volgoles</u>, which had been met by a US vessel and asked to uncover some of the missiles, but the master of the ship refused to do so. However, our bessel had seen seven objects which could have been missiles.

Mr. Kunnetsov referred to the query by the US Delegation concerning the fact that the Soviet ships were going not via the Straits of Florida but via the Bahamas Straits. He said he vished to draw the attention to the fact that all sains straits. He said he vished to draw the attention to the fact that all sains straits and could then take the Bahama Straits, and all Soviet ships were taking that route. He wanted this information to be passed on to appropriate US authorities. The Soviet Delegation was prepared to resolve these practical problems expeditiously and if it had any further information it would be passed on promptly to the US Delegation. In any event, in this particular case there had been a pure misunderstanding.

The Benetary sentimed that today he wished to discuss one particular issue, bearly, that of the present guarantees to Cube with regard to measures which should be better in accordance with the Kennedy-Khrushchev accessent so as to ensure that everything would be done to prevent recurrence of what had taken place in that area. He wished to recall that the UBER's commitment was to dismentle and remove rockets, or what the US calledoffensive armaments. He could state that this commitment had been fulfilled in accordance with Mr. Khrushchev's statement. All missiles had been leaded on ships, and while there was no information whether all ships had left , according to the plan, they were supposed to leave Havana/Havan on dack could be varified by US vessels to see that missiles were smally the and that the numbers of missiles were correct. In addition, launching sais had been completely put out of commission. Thus, the USERN believed that far as the Soviet Union was concerned all obstacles which in US view had been preventing the settlement of the crisis had been removed, and that all conditions had/been was created for the settlement of all other questions which yet been discussed in detail. The USER believed that the basic problem new was to have the commitments contained in the President's letter fulfilled as soon as possible so that both sides could report to the Security Council about the completies of their negotiations and settle this problem. The USER M. thes. She W Covernment and the President proceeded from the premise would be undertaken by the US. The Soviet Delegation wikhed to ask today for US xz views concerning the actual implementation of the President's statement. As to the substance of those commitments, the USER believed that in order to give real guarantees to Cuba that there would be no danger to its security and integrity/ that there would be neg interference in Cuba's internal affairs, and in order to ensure a tranquil situation

EL CALL

to the Mariboun and throughout the world to prevent recurrence of recent

- 1. Net to invade Oubs with the armed forces and not to violate in any way the integrity of Oubs's territory, territorial waters, and air space;
- Not to make UE territority available to anyone for underground activities aimed at invading Cuba, and to refuse to support or encourage snyone planning aggression against Cuba from UE territory or from the territories.
 - 3. In accordance with the UN Charter, not to interfere in the internal affairs of Cuba and matrix the refrain from supporting an encouraging anniconskitations any group, organization or individual planning such activities either from UN territory or from the territories of other states;
 - 4. Not to interfere with the free exercise of trade and economic relations between Oubs and other states and to rescinds all measures aimed at limiting those relations;
 - 5. To enter in negotiations with Cuba for the purpose of normalizing problems with that country, including negotiations on the restoration of the
 - supporting or encouraging any activities directed against the severeignty, territorial integrity, or independence of Ouba, and not to allow such activities by any group, or ganisation, or individual from their territory; and
 - 7. To state that all contracting parties, i.e. the US, the USER and Rem Cuba, agree to accept the U Thant plan for the establishment of a UN

presents in the ferribus area by instituting UN observation posts to observe the fulfillment by the parties of their obligations.

The USER believed that implementation of such steps would be a serious guarantee and would give hope to the Cuban and other peoples that a good deal of work had been done to create a better atmosphere and to funditions promote the cause of peace. It was unnecessary to repeat that a settlement of this problem would be a great contribution to a healthier atmosphere in the Caribbean area and throughout the world and would facilitate solution of other intermational problems avaiting their settlement. It was these matters that the Seviet Delegation wished to discuss today.

Ambassador Stevenson seed that first of all he vished to make consent on Mr. Kunnetsev's statement that the USER had fulfilled its obligations under the Kennedy-Khrushchev agreement by dismantling the launching pads and shipping the missiles. As he had stated earlier, this was not in accord with our understanding, because there were still unresolved issues, namely, those of removing the IL-26 aircraft, of instituting some verification of the removal of varheads, and of establishing some element of ground inspection in a final arrangement. It was only after these issues were resolved that we could agree that the confront had been fulfilled. As we had said before, we were under the impressing that the greendure contemplated by the parties and the Souretary Demeral was that after samithfaction by both sides of the completion of the agreement to the Boursty General, the US would then make anguits granking quarantee in accordance with the Kennedy-Khrushchev correspondence. Another problem, which was also referred to in the Kennedy-Khrushchev correspondence, was that of establishing suitable safeguards to halt possible future introduction of such weapons in Cuba.



parameter, but noted that until some agreement was reached on what constituted compliance with the understanding we believed any discussion of growns guarantees would be pressure. Furthermore, it would be very difficult for him to respond now, point by point, to the suggestions just made concerning the content of the guarantees. He wondered whether it might not be useful if Mr. Furnetsow were to give him a copy of the points he had emmerated. He did not believe that the two sides were far spart with regard to the spirit of the guarantees, but thought them they might be far spart with regard to language. He had connection, he wished to note that Mr. Monthematicality letter said the Warmer declare that it would not invade Cuba and would not support furnes that might contemplate such an invasion; the President's letter said we would give assurances against an invasion of Cuba and that he was confident that other nations of the Western Hemisphere would be prepared to do likewise.

Mr. McCloy commented that he did not recognize in Mr. Kurnetsov's language any of the President's language but he did recognize a good deal of Castro's language, which was not incorporated in the President's message by any strutch of imagination.

guarantees [61] W grantees about non-invasion; and suitable guarantees.

by the ways, bean much be worked out later, that such weapons would not be
re-introduced in Caba.

Mr. Rectloy referred to Ambassador Stevenson's remark concerning the failure to resolve the IL-26 problem and the issue of warhands and said that he was interested in Mr. Ementsov's statement about the so-called vulnerable character of the IL-26 bombers. He said he had a chart, which he would be happy to give to Mr. Ementsov, which showed how much those aircraft could be

explayed based constal defense. He pointed out that the range of the IL-26 ves 178 miles and that therefore the entire industrial complex in the Southeast of the United States, as well as all of Central America were within that range. Furthermore, since the IL-26 was a jet bender designed to carry modean weapons it could inflict great damage as far away as New York, Boston, Ottawa, Quebec, Chicago, St. Paul, Denver, all of Mancico, Venexuals, Chile, and British Guiana, by not returning to Cuba and landing either in the north or in the south. Surely, it would be a small loss to lose a few planes or pilets if one without vished to engage in such an operation. Thus it was quite clear that one could not describe the IL-26 as a coastal defense aircraft, and any such claim had to be taken with a big grain of salt. As to Mr. Kunnetsov's remark that the IL-26 was 15 planes old, that argument was not comming either because had 15-year old planes which could still carry lethal weapons.

Mr. Kunnetsov is respended that he still thought that/the two delegations should exchange views in greater detail on the questions relating to ensuring a situation of peace and security for Cuba and the Caribbean A area and preventing any resurrence of the crisis. Therefore, he did not intend to deal with the points raised by Messrs. Stevenson and McCley. The USER firmly believed that that done in implementing the Kennedy-Khrushchev agreement was all the peoples in the two sit sides to be able immediately to deal with the tracking and preventing guarantees to Cuba and giving assurances to all the peoples in the Caribbean area. However, since Messrs Stevenson and McCley had touched upon some questions which had been discussed earlier, he wished to make a brief comment. As to the IL-26 aircraft, the USER had stated its views on that point. He now wished to draw attention to the fact that

to thefe a discussion of what was offensive or defensive would mean commaging in a clippery discussion; in any event, the USER sould not accept the US view as to what was offensive and what defensive. At the last meeting, he had cited such an authority as Mr. Mc Remara, and even he admitted that any veston could be regarded either as offensive or defensive. The UNER believed that any state had the right to be conserved with its security and to decide for itself what arms were required for its defenses. The USSE did not wish to be drawn into a discussion of what the Cuban government recorded as offensive or defensive; such a discussion could not bring us cleare be solution of the problem at hand, because the two sides had different when this point. She In his letter, the President had recognised the right at state to arm itself for defensive purposes, and this applied to Cuba as well. The USER had not heard any objectionky by the UE to this principle se far. and if the US had changed its view it should say so. As to what defensive Seviet Delegation means were needed by Cuba, the HEEE/was not suthorized to speak for Cuba; it was not authorised to be the voice of another sovereign state or art on its behalf. As far as the substance of the matter was concerned, the Seviet Delegation had convincingly proved in the course of earlier discussions that the nd with summer to the Half states went beyond the Kennedy-Khrushehev . Executery said he wished to appeal once again that the framework of Matters/be exceeded and that the area of disagreement miscritiz not be artificially enlarged. ximmer In any event, he could not say anything new now concerning the IL-26 issue. The USER had removed what the US considered to be effensive weapons and thus had fulfilled its commitment. It was now for the UE to take appropriate steps. The USER had fulfilled its constiments ; it had removed its missiles and had provided for verification by agreeing

this the would appartule that fact.

Targing to the guestion of varheads, Mr. Kugnetsov said this natter had been discussed in detail at the last meeting. He did not wink/te dwall on it. but wished to stress that the US spercech to this question was sized at complicating the problem and creating artificial difficulties. He wished to state that the married of missiles, which the UE called offensive vespons, meant that no warheads related to mricor such weapons would remain on Cuban soil. Therefore, any discussion about some verification was pointless and could only protract the problem and divert our attention. A situation ronkets had been removed and variends remained was absurd. Warhe no hand grenades, and even for hand grenades there were some operate namuals. Obviously warheads could not be used without missiles. Ashessador Stevenson had said that missiles without variends could not be called vespons, but by the same token warheads without missiles were of no value either and could not be used. Se Mr. Kunnetsov said he wished to stress another point in order to make further step toward the solution of the problem and to clarify the situation. He said he wished to state that warheads had been leaded and removed as soon as the decisionise to remove missiles had been adopted. As to which they was being removed on the nine ships the list of which had been in the malegation.

had raised in his initial remarks. Pirst of all, he wanted to stress again that the US should pay attention to this problem also. So far, the USER had observed a lack of interest for this question on the part of the United States; indeed, the US had tried to avoid the subject. Mr. McCley had referred to Castro's language. Perhaps Castro's language was undesirable to the US, but it did reflect the légitimate demands of the Cuban people. The question was not one

of what latel the W attached to what the USER had said but of the substance of the matter. The USER's statement reflected the substance of Mr. Khrushchev's letter and of what flowed from the President's letter. In Mr. Khrushohev's October 27 Letter, which the President regarded as the basis for the agreement. the US would declare that it was stated that/"the USA, motivated by the inviolability of Cube's frontiers and sovereignty, undertakes not to interfere in its internal affairs, itself not to invade Suba or make its territory available as a bridgehead for such invasion, and will also restrain those who might contemplate perpetrating aggression against Cuba, both from the territory of the USA and from the territory of other neighboring states of Cube." He wondered what Mr. Hadis thought was unacceptable desired. It was necessary to know this bettern if the USER heard the US views on this point it would obtain a cheer picture of the situation. In any event, what the Seviet views expressed earlier were in accord with the agreement and now it was important to discuss the substance of the matter. In this commection, he wished to say to Mr. Stevenson that while the Soviet Delegation had expressed some views on this matter, the US had a better idea of how this document should be worded. Therefore, it would be useful if the UE developed such a document and gave it to the Seviet Delegation so that at small the three we stood with regard to this problem. When it was a matter for the patter side, it was that side that should develop the language will work out such document, the UE might bultime/that as inteference in its affairs, as a Diktat, as a desire on the part of the Soviet Union to impose scaething on the US. The USER sought to discuss such a document and the solution of this problem in the spirit of mutual wincount understanding; therefore, it would appreciate receiving a draft of this document at an early date.

make that the last joint he had mentioned in his initial remarks covered this matter, because is presence would not only provide for measures ensuring that there would be no threat to Cube but Cube would also undertake certain consistents not to become a threat to the US. The USER believed that Cube was no threat even now, but if the US desired such guarantees, the USER thought that Cube should enter into some commitments. However, the US would have to discuss this metter with Cube. He said he wished to note that the U Thems. proposal for a UN presence provided for verification of obligations under the wall parties.

Mr. Kunnetsov continued that as to Seviet suggestions, iterated with believe that everything would be acceptable to the US but noted with satisfaction Asbassador Stevenson's remark that the two sides were not far spart with regard to the spirit of guess the guarantees. He thought that the two dides had already dome a great deal together; many problems had already been resolved and if the two mine sides continued in the same spirit, this matter could be resolved too. If the approach of the two sides was more or less the same, it may should not be difficult to develop an appropriate

and sold that is less a practical question to raise. He said he had just talked to Moscow on the phone and been informed that the interpretation of the course of Soviet ships as put forward by the Seviet Dalagation was correct. Apparently there had been some lack of clarity in the language of previous communications with regard to the Straits of Florida and the further titions intime itinerary of Soviet ships. In addition, he had been given the exact

The Cubon Mussele Cross Revented UMI 2005

	7	2	3	4	S	6	7	P	9	/0	//	12	13	14
Α	(Z)		ì											
В		÷.			. >		\ 	((* b e	>			
C							7	((1	
D	Nº.			,	>	Ĉ	Ì	((\	((
E)	((1	1	(
F		į.		1		· · ·	1	(1	>		>	<
9						(H	X	f	<		<		X
		REEL #	1		:#		DA	ī£			,		-	

The Cuban Missile Crisis Revisited: An International Collection of Documents, from the Bay of Pigs to the Brink of Nuclear War

Published by: ProQuest Information and Learning, 300 North Zeeb Rd., PO Box 1346, Ann Arbor, MI 48106, USA

The Cuban Missile Crisis Revisited: An International Collection of Documents, from the Bay of Pigs to the Brink of Nuclear War reproduces a comprehensive collection of records from the archives of the three key governments involved in the most dangerous confrontation of the Cold war. Declassified records from the United States, Russia and Cuba significantly advance analysis of the historical foundations of the missile crisis, the policy calculations and considerations of President John F. Kennedy and premiers Nikita Khrushchev and Fidel Castro, and the overt and covert military and paramilitary operations that combined to bring the world to the threshold of a nuclear exchange. Topics extensively covered in the documentation include the failed U.S.-led invasion at the Bay of Pigs, renewed attempts to overthrow Castro through Operation Mongoose and Operation Northwoods, U.S. military contingency planning for conflict with Cuba, naval warfare, Soviet and Cuban decision making and communications during the crisis, and the repercussions for U.S.-Soviet relations, and Soviet-Cuban relations in its aftermath. Materials were identified, obtained, assembled and indexed by the National Security Archive, a non-profit Washington D.C. based research institute and library. The microfiche collection is accompanied by a printed guide and index.

Arrangement of Information on the Microfiche:

The documents are arranged in chronological order within classes of documents. A unique identification number is assigned to each document. Each new document begins a new line on the microfiche.

Microfiche Numbering:

The unique identification numbers assigned to the documents are listed in the top right-hand corner of the microfiche header.

Technical Data:

Date of Publication of Microfiche Edition: 2006 Format: 49 double frame, 105mm x 148mm microfiche

24 x nominal reduction

Copyright:

This finding aid is in copyright and reproduction of individual pages for purposes other than for private study may not be made without permission from the holding institution.

Arrangement ©2006 ProQuest Information and Learning Company. All rights reserved.

Distribution Outside the USA

ProQuest Information and Learning, The Quorum, Barnwell Road, Cambridge CB5 8SW, England



pesitions of the movest ships carrying missiles and the members of missiles on beard such at these ships. (He gave us a paper containing that information.) Finally, he had been told that one ship had left Casalda, on the southern shores of Cuba, and was taking the course via the Vindward Channel. Mr. Serin also said that he had informed Moscow about Mr. McCley's remarks it that there had been refusal to uncover missiles. Moscow did not knew about the incident, but believed that perhaps the masters had understood instructions to mean that missiles should be uncovered only if helicopters were used by the US. Incidentally, Moscow had received information that US helicopters were already flying over some Seviet ships.

Ambassador Stevenson observed that Mr. Kunnetsov's last remarks referred to several questions: (a) benbers, (b) warheads, (c) game guarantees. With regard to bombers, we had discussed this question in great detail the other night.

He could only repent what he had said before, i.e., that the US classed those benders the IL-26 aircraft as offensive weapons. He had given five citations to prove that the US had always regarded those aircraft as belonging as the category of effensive weapons, beginning with a quotation from the President's October 22 speech and ending with the Kennedy-Khrushchev letters of agreement.

Remarks the Magnetics had been made manifestly clear from the very start.

Ambassadas Startes had been made manifestly clear from the very start.

Sementing Mark that to weapons. He said he wished to state that the question was not of Guba but that of compliance with the agreement. Not to remove the IL-26 aircraft, was not to comply with the agreement.

Turning to varheads, Ambassader Stevenson was said that the US did not wish head to delay agreement by raising that many point; in fact, we stanted to conclude a agreement 10 days ago. It was the Soviet Union which delayed agreement by head attempting to exclude the IL-26 aircraft, which the US/regarded as offensive

that varieties were of no value without rockets; He wished to point out that warheads, with some modification or perhaps even without any modification, could be dropped from airplanes. Mr. Kunnetsov had said that warheads had already been removed - how did we know? Originally the USER had agreed to ground inspection. It could not perform on this point, so the UE had agreed to inspection at send—se why could not such inspection be applied to varheads as well, which were a component of rockets. Ambassador Stevenson stressed that he wished the talks to get down to the simple facts on the situation. Perform as a result of that some conclusion could be reached why the USER instance provides as more removing weapons and not agreeing on some verification of warheads.

Ambassador Stevensom them referred to Mr. Kuznetsow's remark that [18] had sheam no interest in and had avoided the question of guarantees. He said he believed the US had made its position crystal-clear, and that position was stated in the President's letter. He again queted the partinentd passages from Mr. Khrushchev's and the President's letters, and noted that in his October 26th letter Mr. Khrushchev said "I regard with respect and trust the statement you made in your message on October 27, 1962, that there would be no attack, no invasion of the statement about the statement of the statement should be reached on the transaction itself. Mr. Kuznetsev had referred to Cuben guarantees to the United States, but he was most embiguous with regard to guarantees against all-introduction by the USSR of vespons in

meant in quadring meet UN presence and wondered whether Nr. Kunnetsev had meant in quadring meet UN presence and wondered whether Nr. Kunnetsev could perhaps clarify. He then said he had also noticed that Nr. Kunnetsev had chosen his words very carefully when he authorised said that varheads had been removed. Mr. Kunnetsev seemed to exclude those varheads net related to the vespons removed. The varheads were the meet destructive part of the vespons systems and they could also be dropped from planes. It was the varheads that provided nuclear expability. The implication that other nuclear varheads remained in Cuba was extremely disquieting, and perhaps the USER could give according all nuclear varheads had been removed.

Mr. Kuznetsov recalled Ambassador Stevenson's reference to Mr. Iss some other documents to move that the II-26 aircraft had always being managed by the US as offensive vespons, and said that he wished to repeat that Ambassador Stevenson's letters and the other documents he had referred to were unilateral Bocuments; They those documents unilaterally listed so-called offensive versons but they could not be recognised as being in accord with the Kennedy-Khrushchev agreement. Both sides must proceed from the Hannedy-Khrushehev letters which formed the basis for agreement, rather than from what had been said beforever effectivenessiance is the course effects of pelenics before or after the exchange and letters to decuments and letters Enrushchev letters of October 27 and 26, then he could say that there were some letters from Mr. Khrushchev in which some other quastions warm/raised tee, but the USER was not raising them. If we were now to engage in a discussion of those questions which had been raised in the course of polanies, then there would be no progress, we would not be able to reach agreement, and we would go back to the situation of crisis. Both sides should handle with great care what had been agreed as basis for these deliberations.



that Mr. Maring's interpretation of his remarks was incorrect. Mr. McCloy apparently wished to ascribe to the USSR something it had not said, and this was most disconcarting. For the sake of elarity, Mr. Kunnetsov said, he wished to repeat what he had said, namely, that removal from Cuba of missiles which the US regarded as offensive weapons meant that no varheads relating to those weapons would remain in Cuba. Since this was so, any discussion about verification was now pointless. When Mr. McCloy implied that something else might be present in Cuba, that was incorrect. He also wished to stress that warheads and here loaded on ships and removed as soon as the decision had been adopted to remove the so-called offensive missiles. Therefore, he wanted to repeat that any discussion of control would be pointless. The USSR could only regame seem discussions as an attempt to prevent the normalization of the situation and to delay the settlement of the problem by creating new obstacles in the way of a possible agreement.

Recalling Amb. Stevenson's remark that the USSR could not perform with respect to ground inspection, Mr. Kusnetsov said that the USSR, in response to a US suggestion, had made it possible for the US to obtain assurances with remark in the remarks of all rockets. Mr. Stevenson was aware of the situation with leading to grown inspection, and Mr. Khrushchev's letter meant, of course, that W Respection until be subject to consent from the Cuban Government.

Mr. Emmetsev then said that he wished to come back to the question he intended to dissuss today and which the US side was trying to avoid. It appeared that this question was of ne interest to the US. Mr. Stevenson had said that



granted which was still outstanding was the Soviet Union's guarantee the well-waredness restate in Cubs. The USER had already considered this question and it had been referred to in Mr. Khrushchev's letter. The UMER had given assurances and had taken certain steps. However, if one traced down the main source of conflict, one would see that it was not the USSR but the US that was responsible for what had happened in Cuba. The small country of Cuba waxx threatened by a big country which had wanted to put an end to the regime prevailing in Cuba and to prevent the /people from living as they pleased. One might ask why the USSR desired clear-cut assurances from the US. The pena that was the President had said that US assurances would be given, but six a mamber of statements had been made that there would be no assurances by the US could not agree with the situation now obtaining in Cuba. In this connection, he wished to draw attention to Mr. Theodore Sorenson's Movember & statement on TV, in which he had said that the US could not and would not give assurances with regard to non-invasion until it was satisfied that some agreement satisfactory to it was reached. The Soviet Union believed that such agreement already existed and was contained in the Emmedy-Ehrushehev letters. At any rate, in view of the fact that views like Mr. Sorenson's were being promoted in the UE. the MEER believed it goodssary to discuss the question of US guarantees to Cuba. A had dismutist its missiles in Cuba, but did the US intend to give clear. was mistreases which would leave no doubt in the minds of the Cuben and other secoles of the worlds that there would be no invasion and no support of invasion by others? Theremovies in his October 28 letter, the President had said that "I consider my letter to you of Ostober 27 and your reply to today

as first metalering as part of both our governments which should be promptly corried only. The the fresident's Cotober 27 letter there was a statement with regard to commitments about non-invasion, but now there was no confidence that the US was prepared to give such guarantees. The current discussions only reinforced this doubt.

Mr. Dismetsov then quoted at length from Borticos' October 8 speech at the Strong Stro

As to Mr. Including question with regard to U Thant's proposal for a UE process in the particles area, U Thant had probably stated his views to the UE, but as the UE and the UESE, that terms of UE observers would be formed and rinkings lessed in the UE and some Latin American countries related to this problem, including Cuba. Of course, the stationing of such terms would be subject to consent on the part of the respective governments. The function of the terms would be to observe compliance by the parties with the obligations undertaken.



The Manufacture esseluded that he realized he had taken such time, but then this problem was a large one. He would be appreciate an answer from the US, but if the US Belegation was not prepared to give it now, perhaps it could do so later, if possible tomorrow. In any event, there should be no long delay.

Referring to Mr. Engetsov's statement that no warheads relating to offensive the vespons remained in Cubs, Amb. Stevenson pointed out that according to Soviet positions attention was the only offensive vespons. He wondered ski what the minimizer was the only offensive vespons. He wondered ski what the attention was the only offensive vespons. He wondered ski what the attention was the only offensive vespons. He wondered ski what the said that my discounter of verification of verbeads was pointless because variends had been removed, but this problem was no more pointless to us than the removal of missiles.

intily Mr. Mitantaov had asked whether the US would give clear-out es which wants leave no doubt that the US would not invade Cuba and would not separt these who wished to launch an invasion, die that the USSE was not confident that the US would give such guarantees. Amb. Stevenson wondered why this was so. He pointed out that the President's letter was since on this point; the commitment was clear and it had been confirmed in the President's October 28 letter, which spoke of "firm undertakings on part of both our governments." Why then did Mr. Kusnetsov say that the Soviet Union had doubts that the US would undertake such guarantees? The guarantees would be given when conditions were not, and those conditions were contained in the President's letter which referred to the removal of "all weapons systems in capable of offensive use", under UN arrangements. The US had valved W arr to verify the removal of missiles, and it had suggested means of verifying th removal of variesds but that had apparently been rejected. Likewise, the USSE apparently had rejected the removal of the IL-28 sircraft and nuclear bombs. What more was there to say? The assurances were clear and the conditions were also clear. The trouble was that me the two sides were unable to agree on the terms of the transaction, and Castro's objections apparently prevented the completion of the transaction. If the USSR helped to complete the transaction by removing the II, I would make the muclear components, then the US would make the guarantees Finking President's letter, perhaps in the Security Council the appropriate way to do it.

Amb. Stevenson concluded the conversation by saying that Mr. McCloy,
Amb. Yost and himself would be monthshift available for further discussions if
such discussions would prove fruitful.